

SCECLB314 Individual

Senedd Cymru | Welsh Parliament

Y Pwyllgor Biliau Diwygio | Reform Bill Committee

Bil Senedd Cymru (Rhestrau Ymgeiswyr Etholiadol) | Senedd Cymru (Electoral Candidate Lists) Bill

Ymateb gan Unigolyn | Evidence from Individual

What are your views on the general principles of the Bill and the need for legislation to deliver the Welsh Government's stated policy objective (to make the Senedd a more effective legislature by ensuring it is broadly representative of the gender make-up of the population)?

Unnecessary. Polarising. Discriminatory. Outwith devolved powers. Includes self ID so pointless and open to abuse.

What are your views on the system of enforcement and potential sanctions for non-compliance proposed in the Bill?

Irrelevant as the bill doesn't have legislative competence as pointed out by presiding officer. Will end up in Supreme Court and cost much public money.

Are there any potential barriers to the implementation of the Bill's provisions? If so, what are they, and are they adequately taken into account in the Bill and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum and Regulatory Impact Assessment?

Are any unintended consequences likely to arise from the Bill?

Men will say they are women to bypass the rule and you won't be able to stop them as no actual requirement to be a woman is included. You just have to say you are one. Plus the huge lawsuit due to legislative incompetence and massive drain on public resources and purse.

What are your views on the Welsh Government's assessment of the financial and other impacts of the Bill?

Underwhelming.

What are your views on the balance between the information contained on the face of the Bill and what is left to subordinate legislation? Are the powers for Welsh Ministers to make subordinate legislation appropriate?

I don't think you have the powers to enforce the bill at all

Do you have any views on matters relating to the legislative competence of the Senedd including compatibility with the European Convention on Human Rights?

Well it's not competent, it's not compliant with EA2010 as there's no proportionate means to achieve a legitimate aim and you are well aware this is a reserved area. Look at Scotland and stop this nonsense.

Do you have any views on matters related to the quality of the legislation, or to the constitutional or other implications of the Bill?

The quality is poor. It would allow 100% men claiming they are women to achieve a purported 100 female government

Are there any other issues that you would like to raise about the Bill and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum or any related matters?

The bill doesn't remove any actual barriers to help women to stand for office. It is heavy handed and not needed.

Anything else?